



Combining Serology and Genotyping to Improve Patient Outcomes: Case Studies

Lauro E. Guerra, Jr., BS, MLS(ASCP)^{CM}SBB^{CM}

Manager, Grifols Immunohematology Center, San Marcos, TX, USA

March 22, 2019



GRIFOLS

Objectives

1. List limitations of common serological techniques.
2. List limitations of common genotyping techniques.
3. Recognize test data that may help resolve complex investigations.

Limitations of Serological Techniques

- Recent transfusion(s)
- Warm Autoantibodies
- Unavailability of reagents
- Antigen variants
- MoAb Therapy

Limitations of Serological Techniques

Recent transfusion

- Antigen typing
 - Mixed-field reactivity
- Positive DAT and/or Autocontrol
 - Warm Autoantibody?
 - Alloantibody?
- Hemodilution

Limitations of Serological Techniques

Warm Autoantibodies

- Complicates antigen typing
 - False-positive reactions with IAT reagents
 - Chloroquine diphosphate
 - EDTA/glycine-acid (EGA)
- IAT Interference
 - Panreactivity

Limitations of Serological Techniques

Unavailability of Reagents

- Antisera for high prevalence antigens
- Antisera for low prevalence antigens
- Antisera for “non-common” blood group antigens

Limitations of Serological Techniques

Antigen variants

- Discrepant reactivity with reagent antisera
- Partial antigens
 - RhD
 - RhCE
- Hybrid antigens
 - “False-positive” reactions
 - Anti-M

Limitations of Serological Techniques

MoAb Therapy

- Anti-CD38
- Anti-CD47



Limitations of Common Genotyping Techniques

- Only single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) are interrogated
- Limited to most common SNP variants
- Downstream polymorphisms may not be detected
- Cis/Trans arrangement of multiple polymorphisms
- Hybrid alleles
- Allele dropout
 - Polymorphism in primer binding site

How does this help me?



Case #1

- 23 Y.O. Male
- Admitted through E.R. after M.V.A.
- MC vs. PU
- Type and Screen ordered
- 4 units pRBCs ordered

Case #1

- Patient typed as O Positive
- Antibody screen was W-1+ with all cells tested
- Antibody panel was W-1+ with all cells tested
- Autocontrol was weakly positive
- Warm autoantibody was suspected

Case #1

- Antigen type for Rh, Kell, Kidd, and S/s

C- c+ E+^{MF} e+ K- Jk^{a+} Jk^{b-} S- s+

- Sent for RBC genotyping as part of WAA work-up

- Mixed-field reactivity

- RBC genotype report:

C- c+ E+ e+ K- Jk^{a+} Jk^{b-} S- s+

Case #1

- Patient had SCD
- Mixed field with anti-E reagent due to recent transfusion
 - Patient received multiple units of E-negative RBCs

Case #2

- 20 Y.O. Female with SCD
- History of Anti-C, -K, -Fy^a, -M, -S, -Le^a, and WAA
- Patient routinely transfused with “antigen-negative RBCs”
- Medical director requested consultation work-up due to “vanishing WAA”

Case #2

	D	C	E	c	e	K	Fy ^a	Fy ^b	Jk ^a	Jk ^b	M	N	S	s	PEG	Papain	DTT
1	+	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	W+	1+	W+
2	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	W+	1+	W+
3	+	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	W+	1+	0
4	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	W+	1+	W+
5	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	W+	1+	0
6	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	0	+	0	W+	W+	0
8	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	W+	1+	0
9	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	W+	1+	0
10	0	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	0
AC															W+	W+	0

Case #2

- Antigen resistant to papain treatment
- Antigen sensitive to 0.2M DTT
- Kell, Lutheran, Knops, Dombrock, LW, Cartwright (maybe)
- Trypsin treat RBCs?

Case #2

System	Interrogated Alleles	Sample Genotype	Predicted Phenotype		
Rh	RHCE ^{1a}	RHCE ^{1ce} RHD ^{1y's} -RHCE ^{1ce} (733G, 1006T)	C (RH:2)	+(20)	
	RHCE ^{1c}		E (RH:3)	0	
	RHCE ^{1e}		c (RH:4)	+	
	RHCE ^{1cW}		e (RH:5)	+	
	RHCE ^{1cW}		CW (RH:8)	0	
	RHCE ^{1cW}		V (RH:10)	0	
	RHCE ^{1eW}		hrS (RH:10)	+	
	RHCE ^{1eW}		VS (RH:20)	+	
	RHCE ^{1eW}		hrB (RH:31)	+	
	RHCE ^{1eW}				
	RHCE ^{1eW}				
	RHCE ^{1eW}				
	RHCE ^{1eW}				
	RHCE ^{1eW}				
Kell	KEL ^{1k}	KEL ^{1k}	K (KEL-1)	0	
	KEL ^{1k}		k (KEL-2)	+	
	KEL ^{1KpA}		Kpa (KEL-3)	0	
	KEL ^{1KpA}		Kpb (KEL-4)	+	
	KEL ^{1KpA}		Jsa (KEL-6)	0	
	KEL ^{1KpA}		Jsb (KEL-7)	+	
	KEL ^{1KpA}				
Kidd	JK ^{1A}	JK ^{1A}	Jka (JK:1)	+	
	JK ^{1B}		Jkb (JK:2)	+	
	JK ^{1B} (VSS-1A) JK ^{1B} (871C)		Fya (FY:1)	0	
Duffy	FY ^{1A}	FY ^{1B}	Fyb (FY:2)	+	
	FY ^{1B}				
	FY ^{1B} (-67C) FY ^{1B} (265T)				
MNS	GYP ^{1M}	GYP ^{1A} GYP ^{1N}	M (MNS:1)	0	
	GYP ^{1N}		N (MNS:2)	+	
	GYP ^{1N}	GYP ^{1s}	S (MNS:3)	0	
	GYP ^{1N} (VSS-8T)		s (MNS:4)	+	
	GYP ^{1N} (230T)		U (MNS:5)	+	
	GYP ^{1N} (448A)		Mia (MNS:7)	0	
	GYP ^{1N} (448A)				
GYP ^{1N} (448A)					
Diego	D ^{1A}	D ^{1B}	Dia (DI:1)	0	
	D ^{1B}		Dib (DI:2)	+	
Dombrock	DO ^{1A}	DO ^{1B}	Doa (DO:1)	0	
	DO ^{1B}		Dob (DO:2)	+	
	DO ^{1B} (521T)		Hy (DO:4)	+	
	DO ^{1B} (350T)		Joa (DO:5)	+	
Colton	CO ^{1A}	CO ^{1A}	Coa (CO:1)	+	
	CO ^{1B}		Cob (CO:2)	0	
Cartwright	YT ^{1A}	YT ^{1A}	Yta (YT:1)	+	
	YT ^{1B}		Ytb (YT:2)	0	
Lutheran	LU ^{1A}	LU ^{1B}	Lua (LU:1)	0	
	LU ^{1B}		Lub (LU:2)	+	

Case #2

- Plasma treated with Do^a rBGP
- C-positive and K-positive RBCs reactive
- All other RBCs, including autocontrol, were non-reactive
- Anti-C, -K, and -Do^a identified

Case #3

- Customer submitted sample for ABO discrepancy resolution

Anti-A	Anti-B	Anti-D	A ₁ Cells	B Cells
0	0	4+	0	0

Case #3

Sample 2				
Locus	Segment	NT Change	AA Change	Genotype
ABO	Exon 3	c.106G/T	p.36Val/Phe	ABO*O.01.02, ABO*467T,803C,1061delC
ABO	Exon 4	c.188G/A	p.63Arg/His	
ABO	Exon 4	c.189C/T	p.63Arg/His	
ABO	Exon 5	c.220C/T	p.74Pro/Ser	
ABO	Exon 6	c.261G/delG	p.88Thr/Pro>fs118Ter	
ABO	Exon 6	c.297A/G	p.99Thr/Thr	
ABO	Exon 7	c.467C/T	p.156Pro/Leu	
ABO	Exon 7	c.646T/A	p.216Phe/Ile	
ABO	Exon 7	c.681G/A	p.227Pro/Pro	
ABO	Exon 7	c.771C/T	p.257Pro/Pro	
ABO	Exon 7	c.803G/C	p.268Gly/Ala	
ABO	Exon 7	c.829G/A	p.277Val/Met	
ABO	Exon 7	c.1061C/delC	p.354Pro/Arg>fs376Ter	

- Variants c.467T and c.1061delC are commonly found in alleles encoding an A₂ phenotype
- Variants c.467T and c.803C are found in some alleles encoding a cisAB phenotype (e.g. *ABO*cisAB.01*)
- *ABO*467T,803C,1061delC* allele was unreported

Case #3

- *ABO*467T,803C,1061delC* allele likely encodes a weak cis-AB-like phenotype
- Second sample received for ABO discrepancy resolution

Anti-A	Anti-B	Anti-D	A ₁ Cells	B Cells
0	0	4+	0	W+

Case #3

Locus	Segment	NT Change	AA Change	Genotype
ABO	Intron 4	IVS4-9T/C	-	ABO*O.01.56
ABO	Exon 6	c.261G/delG	p.88Thr/Pro>fs118Ter	ABO*467T,803C,1061delC
ABO	Exon 7	c.467C/T	p.156Pro/Leu	
ABO	Exon 7	c.803G/C	p.268Gly/Ala	
ABO	Exon 7	c.1061C/delC	p.354Pro/Arg>fs376Ter	

Case #3

			IS	RT	4°C	Papain-IS	Papain-RT
Forward ABO	Anti-A	Mono 1	0	0	0	0	W+
		Mono 2	W+	W+	1+	W+	1+
	Anti-B	Mono 1	0	0	0	0	0
		Mono 2	0	0	0	0	0
	Anti-A,B	Mono and Poly	W+	1+	2+	NT	NT
Reverse ABO	A ₁ RBCs		0	W+	W+	NT	NT
	A ₂ RBCs		0	0	0	NT	NT
	B RBCs		W+	1+	1+	NT	NT

Case #3

- Variants c.467T and c.1061delC are commonly found in alleles encoding an A₂ phenotype
- Variants c.467T and c.803C are found in some alleles encoding a cisAB phenotype (e.g. *ABO*cisAB.01*)
- *ABO*467T,803C,1061delC* allele likely encodes a weak cis-AB-like phenotype
- Unable to determine if different serological results was due to different testing methodologies
- Pending additional investigation

Case #4

- Patient received anti-CD38 therapy for multiple myeloma
- Per protocol, customer submits sample for blood group genotyping
- Blood group genotyping results reported to customer
- Predicted RhCE phenotype: C+E+c+e-
- Customer emails to report genotype/phenotype discrepancy

Case #4

- Customer performed RhCE phenotype
 - Patient typed as C+E+c+e+
- *RHCE* gene sequencing performed to resolve discrepancy
- Sequencing data reproduced array prediction
 - Predicted phenotype was C+E+c+e-
- Sequencing results reported to customer

Case #4

- Customer emails to complain about genotype/phenotype discrepancy
- Additional samples are submitted for repeat testing
- One sample is sent for RhCE antigen typing
- Patient's RhCE phenotype was C+E+c+e+
- e antigen typing was tested with three different monoclonal reagents and one polyclonal source

Case #4

- *RHCE* exon 5 PCR repeated with alternate primers
- Predicted phenotype matched serological typing
- Polymorphism discovered in the primer binding site

Conclusion

- Be familiar with reagent performance characteristics
- Be familiar with assay/reagent limitations
- There is no “One Size Fits All” solution
- Synergy is key
- Sometimes there is no clear cut answer

Questions?

Thank you!